#### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

### **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

REC'D 17 NOV 2005

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

WIPO PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference BPX 10096	FOR FURTHER AC	CTION 5	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/GB2004/004986	International filing date ( 25.11.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.12.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na E21B4/04, E21B4/18, E21B43/10	ational classification and IF	PC			
Applicant BP EXPLORATION OPERATING COMPANY LIMITEDET al.					
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	of 5 sheets, including th	is cover sheet.	•		
	3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. $\square$ sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:					
<ul> <li>sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).</li> </ul>					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b.   (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
☐ Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
☐ Box No. II Priority					
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
	in the international appl				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observa	ations on the internation	ai application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this	report		
15.07.2005		16.11.2005			
Name and mailing address of the international		Authorized Officer	sches Patonion.		
preliminary examining authority:		Georgescu, M	the state of the s		
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	oo opina a	Telephone No. +49 89 23	99-		

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004986

	Box No. I Basis o	he report		
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>				
	☐ This report is ba	ed on translations from the original language into the following language , age of a translation furnished for the purposes of:		
	☐ international☐ publication o☐ international☐	earch (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) he international application (under Rule 12.4) reliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2. With regard to the <b>elements</b> * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				
		en e		
	Description, Pages			
	1-22	as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-10	as originally filed		
	Drawings, Sheets			
	1/7-7/7	as originally filed		
	☐ a sequence lis	g and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3	.   The amendme	s have resulted in the cancellation of:		
	☐ the descrip			
	☐ the claims, ☐ the drawing	os. sheets/figs		
	☐ the sequen	elisting <i>(specify)</i> :		
		elated to sequence listing (specify):		
4	<ol> <li>This report ha had not been mad Supplemental Box</li> </ol>	been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed bel since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in Rule 70.2(c)).	low the	
	☐ the descrip☐ the claims.☐ the drawin	los.		
	☐ the seque ☐ any table(s	e listing <i>(specify)</i> : related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
	* If item 4	plies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004986

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-10

iventive step (13)

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/004986

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US 2003/0034177

## V - Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

#### V-1 Claim 1

D1, which is considered as the closest prior art, describes a method of drilling a second wellbore section (fig.9) from a first wellbore section that is lined with a tubular liner (96) and of extending the tubular liner (126) into the second wellbore section, the method comprising:

- (a) drilling the second wellbore section from the first wellbore section using a remotely controlled electrically powered drilling assembly (paragraph 215) that is suspended from cable (283) that extends from the surface wherein the cable comprises an upper and a lower length of cable connected by a cable connection means (paragraph 273, lines 11-13; implicit connecting means between the segments of the umbilical) and the drilling assembly comprises an expansion means (fig.10), a traction means (630), and an electrically actuated drill bit (paragraph 215) and wherein electricity is transmitted to the assembly via at least one electrical wire (paragraph 251) that extends from the surface to the assembly;
  - (b) introducing an expandable liner (126) into the wellbore
- (c) actuating the expansion means (fig.10) of the assembly to expand the upper portion of the expandable liner pipe into the lower portion of the tubular liner to form a sealed connection therebetween and to expand the lower portion of the expandable liner pipe to extend the tubular liner.

The distinguishing features of claim 1 with regard to D1 are comprised on point (b).

The problem to be solved by the aforementioned features is how to provide the assembly with successive liner tubulars to be expanded in the wellbore.

The technical problem is solved by providing the assembly with a traction means that is movable along the cable in combination with the possibility of disconnecting the cable parts in order to arrange the liner tubular about the cable so that it can

be conveyed donwhole by the traction means. The liner (126) of D1 is conveyed together with the drilling device (fig.6) and no further liner can be sent from the surface downhole.

As none of the prior art suggests the aforementioned features, the skilled man would not find obvious to arrive at the subject-matter of claim 1. Therefore, claim 1 meets the requirement for inventive step of Art. 33(3) PCT.

V-2 Independent claims 4 and 5 also comprise the inventive features of claim 1.

Therefore, claims 2 to 10 also meet the requirement of Art. 33(3) PCT.

#### VII - Certain defects

- VII-1 The independent claims are not properly cast in the two part form, with those features which in combination are part of the closest prior art (D1) being placed in the preamble, contrary to the requirements of Rule 6.3(b) PCT.
- VII-2 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii)PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in documents D1, D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.